

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,678	(06/29/2001	John Stephen Drewery	NOVEP008	6878	
25920	7590	04/02/2003				
MARTINE		•	EXAMINER			
710 LAKEW SUITE 170				CULBERT, ROBERTS P		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1763		
				DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		_	09/895,67	/8	DREWERY, JOHN STEPHEN				
•	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Roberts C		1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 March 2003</u> .								
2a)⊠	This action	on is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, and16-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10,16-18 and 22</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/or	election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[]	The specific	cation is objected to by the Examiner.	•						
10)	The drawing	g(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b)	objected to by the Exan	niner.				
		may not request that any objection to the		<u> </u>	• •				
11) 🔲 -	The propos	ed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ ap	proved b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)	The oath or	declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Cert	ified copies of the priority documents	have beer	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice	e of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's explanation in the amendment filled 3/25/03 is sufficient to overcome the rejections under U.S.C. 112 first paragraph.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/25/03 regarding the prior art rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that Lu does not show all the elements of the claimed inventions.

The argument is not persuasive. Lu does show forming a release layer *over* a dielectric layer. The claim does not say that the release layer is formed directly on the dielectric layer. The term over is interpreted to mean above, upper or higher. Even if the applicant's interpretation of the word "over" is used the limitations of the claim are clearly met. The stop layer (106) is made from a photosensitive dielectric material SiC. See Paragraph 13.

Applicant has argued that sacrificial layer of Lu is not the same as the photosensitive release layer of the claimed invention. Applicant seems to argue that the use of CMP in Lu provides the distinction. The argument is not persuasive because the rejected claims do not specify chemical treatment only. There is nothing in the claims to preclude CMP. It appears that the claims have been worded to include CMP processes as supported by the implication in the specification that minimal CMP is *sometimes* nesessarry. See Page 6 line 18.

The rejections of claims 1-7 and 19-21 under U.S.C. 102(e) are maintained from the previous office action as recited below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Recent Statutory Changes to 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

On November 2, 2002, President Bush signed the 21st Century Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act (H.R. 2215) (Pub. L. 107-273, 116 Stat. 1758 (2002)), which further

Application/Control Namber: 09/895,678

Art Unit: 1763

amended 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) (Pub. L. 106-113, 113 Stat. 1501 (1999)). The revised provisions in 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) are completely retroactive and effective immediately for all applications being examined or patents being reexamined. Until all of the Office's automated systems are updated to reflect the revised statute, citation to the revised statute in Office actions is provided by this attachment. This attachment also substitutes for any citation of the text of 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), if made, in the attached Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 prior to the amendment by the AIPA that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

For more information on revised 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) visit the USPTO website at www.uspto.gov or call the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (703) 305-1622.

Claims 1-7 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0137337 to Lu et al.

Referring to Figures 2A-2F, Lu shows a method for forming conductive features comprising: providing a dielectric layer (102), defining a feature (112) into the release layer (108) and the dielectric layer, filling a conductive material (116) over the release layer and into the feature; and removing the release layer the removing being configured to remove the conductive material from over the dielectric layer previously covered by the release layer. See Figure 2F.

Referring to Figure 2D, the conductive material is applied in multiple layers (114) and (116).

Referring to Figure 2E, applying the multiple layers includes, applying a barrier layer (114), applying a copper seed layer (116), and applying a copper bulk material (124) over the copper seed layer.

Referring to paragraph 20, removing the release layer includes chemically dissolving the release layer using chemical mechanical polishing, a method of wet etching. The release layer is a dissolvable material.

Lu teaches that hydrogen silesquioxane, may be used for the dielectric layers. See paragraph 12.

HSQ is a well-known photosensitive dielectric.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-10, 16-18 and 22 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to point out or render obvious all of the limitations of the cited claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1763

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (703) 305-7965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gregory Mills can be reached on (703) 308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 8729311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

April 1, 2003

SUPPRISON: PATENT EXAMINER

1 AND CONTER 1700